THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T.CH.SURYA RAO

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO.13745 OF 2006

ORDER: (Per TCSR,J)

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner and pursued the impugned order passed by the learned Special Court. We see no illegality or irregularity that has been committed in having passed the impugned order by the learned Special Court. Liberty was also given to the petitioner to renew his request at the appropriate time before it.

The contention of the learned counsel appearing for the writ petitioner that both the cases should be tried simultaneously as is evident from the provisions of Section 8(2-C) of the A.P. Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Act, 1982 read with Rule 14 of the Rules framed under the said Act cannot be countenanced for the simple reason that it is well within the discretion of the Special Court to decide in what order the civil as well as criminal cases must be tried by it. The provision does not warrant any interpretation as is sought to be given by the learned counsel. In fact, the impugned order is innocuous and we see no adverse order to the interest of the writ petitioner that has been passed by the learned Special Court.

For the above reasons, the Writ Petition fails and is dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

(T.CH.SURYA RAO,J)

(G.CHANDRAIAH,J)

Dated 27---11---2006.
VGSR

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T.CH.SURYA RAO

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO.13745 OF 2006
(Per TCSR,J)

DATED 27—11—2006

T.Nageswara Rao,
S/o T.V.V.S.Prakasa Rao,
Aged : 54 years, Occu: Govt. Employee,
R/o. S1-B-293, Sachivalaya Nagar,
Hyderabad. … Petitioner

v.

The Registrar, Spl. Court
Constituted under APLG(P) Act,
BRKR Bhavan, Tankbund Road,
Hyderabad. And Others …
Respondents